

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/633,668	C	08/05/2003	Nobuyuki Motoki	S0529.0003	5313
32172	7590	04/25/2005		EXAM	INER
		RO MORIN & OS	LEUBECKE	ER, JOHN P	
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE) 41 ST FL.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	, NY 10	036-2714		3739	

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005

0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>O</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/633,668	MOTOKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John P. Leubecker	3739
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the magnetic patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	5 August 2003	
_	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·
8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	rection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
 Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur 	-	eceived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived.
	,	
A44b4/-)		
Attachment(s)	,, □	(070.440)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) ∐ Interview Su Paper No(s)/	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/633,668 Page 2

Art Unit: 3739

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-11, 17-19, 21 and 22, drawn to an endoscope apparatus, classified in class 600, subclass 146.

- II. Claims 12-15 and 20, drawn to an endoscope apparatus, classified in class 600, subclass 102.
- III. Claim 16, drawn to an endoscope apparatus, classified in class 600, subclass 131.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I through III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not capable of use together in that each invention claims its own self-contained, operational device that includes at least an insert portion. The disclosure fails to mention two separate insert portions used together. Furthermore, each invention operate and function differently as clearly shown by the significantly different claim limitations.

 Particularly, Invention I is directed to an combination including a element detachable to a working channel of the insert portion. Invention II is directed to a combination including an element for allowing the insert portion to be connected to something. Invention III is directed to a combination including a display on the proximal end of the insert portion. These distinctly different features cause the endoscope apparatuses to function and operate differently.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,668

Art Unit: 3739

Page 3

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the search required for any one Group is not exclusively required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. To evidence the requirement of "serious burden", it is noted that the application of such requirement affects both search and examination of the claimed patentably distinct inventions. Therefore, as much as the search for the distinct inventions might overlap to a certain extent, there still exists the burden of separate analysis of the prior art references for each distinct invention, as well as a separate written analysis in the Office Action. The Examiner takes the position that the inventions differ enough that one single reference would not likely disclose an endoscope apparatus with all three inventions. Thus, separate searches, separate references and separate written analysis of each invention will most likely be required. Unfortunately, the Examiner is only given enough time for one search and written analysis for each application. Therefore, a serious burden would exist.
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an 4. election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 3739

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Leubecker whose telephone number is (571) 272-4769. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

jpl